

S28 Methodology of archaeological simulation. Meeting of the Special Interest Group in Complex Systems Simulation

Iza Romanowska, Joan Anton Barceló, Florencia del Castillo

Following its creation at the CAA2014 in Siena the Special Interest Group in Complex Systems Simulation invites all researchers with an interest in computational modelling to join the discussion on the challenges and potential of simulation in archaeology.

This year the main focus of the meeting is on the methodology of simulation. Topics will include but are not limited to:

1. Model and Simulation: From Virtual Reality to Complex Systems Simulation.

2. Modelling Techniques: The world of options beyond Agent-based Modelling.

- 3. Constructing the Ontology: What goes in and what goes out in a model?
- 4. Testing and Validity of Simulations: How do we know which model to trust?
- 5. Best Practice in Model Design: ODD protocol, code sharing, replication.

The roundtable will consist of a series of lightning talks on the particular methodological challenges of modelling complex systems given by experts in archaeological simulation, followed by a roundtable discussion open to the audience.

S28-01 Why Model?

Juan Barceló

Modelling and simulation from an epistemological perspective. What is modelling, how does simulation differ from other types of modelling? What are the goals of simulation? What can we achieve with it? What are the strengths and limitations of the method.

S28-02 What is complexity theory and why should we care about it

Stefani Crabtree

What is complexity science? What is complexity? What is the difference between complex and complicated? Examples? What is the big deal of 'emergence' about? Isn't complexity science just for physicist and mathematicians?

S28-03 Simulation as middle-range research

Ben Davies

How do we build the artificial society and the world around it? What do we include and what leave out of a model? How do we know that the model is a correct representation of the real–world system?

S28-04 Models: 'Small and elegant' or 'complicated but realistic'?

Colin Wren

Theory driven and data driven models. Models that aim to emulate and models that aim to explain. Are these really two modelling paradigms or a gradient depending on the focus of different models? When do we use each type? How to struck a balance between generalist results and the need to validate the models against archaeological data?

S28-05 ABMs, because they're worth it? Alternatives to our favourite method

Elizabeth Gallagher

Archaeologists LOVE agent–based modelling, but is it the only method? What other types of simulation are out there? Why and when we may prefer to use them?



S28-06 Fancy doing some networks?

Tom Brughmans

What is network science? Are network science and complexity science related? What is network data? What can and what cannot (shouldn't) be represented as networks? Examples of archaeological networks.

S28-07 Building on expert advice to create an informed model

Philip Verhagen

How to draw on expert knowledge to create an informed model? What are the challenges of translating 'what we already know' into realistic simulation setup? How do we get parameter values for things we cannot derive from the archaeological record?

S28-08 Lessons already learned: Drawing from the best software practice

Iza Romanowska

The technical side of things. Different languages, which one to choose? What is 'good code'? The standards of development, testing, documenting and disseminating.

S28-09 Proof of concept: Verifying ABM with analytical methods

Irmela Herzog

Using an analytical method to verify (check correctness) of a simulation. Bugs lurk in almost every code, testing results of ABMs against analytical methods (spatial analysis, statistics, etc) can help with detecting and correcting them. How to choose the right method? What type of 'artificial data' to compare and how?

S28-10 Validation: The painful moment when the model meets the data

Florencia del Castillo

Validation is a big topic in simulation, what is it all about? Do all models need to be validated? How to choose which data to validate the model against? How to deal with uncertainties and biases of the data? Do we need to model taphonomic processes or biases caused by uneven history of research? What if the model does not agree with the data? What is 'overfitting'?

S28-11 Model selection: What is the 'best' model?

Xavi Rubio-Campillo

Even if 'all models are wrong but some are useful' are some more wrong than other? Techniques used to determine which models fit the data better.